

Some people say that governments should not spend money on international aid, as long as there are disadvantaged people such as unemployed and homeless within their own country. To what extent do you agree or disagree? Give your own opinion.

Millions of people are grappling with poverty these days. Governments in every each country plays a crucial role in facilitating to facilitate life for dwellers. However, various welfare levels in each society are is unavoidable.

An unprecedented economic stagnation in the recent decades has made makes people cope with a lot of problems forcibly.

To begin with, many myself my-self included, argue that we are living in a the global village . The latest assessments/research have revealed that most of people in all around the world have voted for a Peaceful uUnited nNations which declares that we need blessing as well as financial contribution.

Not only can financial aid can create a balance, but also might play a prohibiting approach that needs to be taken into account though.

Furthermore, the more industrialization we have, the more poverty we lead to .third world countries are being exploited by western countries which is conducive to depleting their credit in the global markets and renders them prone to bankruptcy.

In the stark contrast, others believe that “Charity Begins at Home”. The government should pave the a way and introduce a quota to distribute the facilities among the residents.

To sum up , I am of the opinion that the governments under no circumstances should underestimate the international helps.as a matter of fact , the governmentss should play paly a role as a charity body no matter how small.

malnutrition and poverty that some African countries deal with these days , encourage the sense of solidarity in humankind human-kind to help them.